

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Albany, New York; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;


(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,



John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for Michael S. Siegel

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

LAWRENCE FRAIBERG)
)
Petitioner,)
)
v.)
)
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS)
COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES)
OF AMERICA)
)
Respondents,)
)

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 08 1993

RON GARVIN
CLERK

93-1135

RECEIVED

FILED

PETITION FOR REVIEW

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 402 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §402, Lawrence Fraiberg hereby petitions the Court for review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Order, Tentative Decision and Order on Reconsideration ("Order") released by the Federal Communications Commission in Docket No. CC92-297 on January 8, 1993.

In said Order, the Commission concluded that the 28 GHz band should be redesignated to accommodate local multipoint technology that would provide consumers with additional options for video programming distribution, wideband video data, and other telecommunications services. In proposing such redesignation of spectrum, the Commission said that, while the 28 GHz band has been available for point-to-point microwave radio common carrier use

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Bergen-Passaic, New Jersey; Monmouth-Ocean, New Jersey; Jersey City, New Jersey; Wilmington, Delaware; and Lawrence, Massachusetts; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,



John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for Lawrence Fraiberg

RECEIVED
FEB 08 1993
CLERK OF THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

KATHLEEN SMITH)
)
Petitioner,)
)
v.)
)
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS)
COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES)
OF AMERICA)
)
Respondents,)
)

FILED FEB 08 1993

RON GARVIN
CLERK

93-1136

RECEIVED

RECORDED

PETITION FOR REVIEW OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 402 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §402, Kathleen Smith hereby petitions the Court for review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Order, Tentative Decision and Order on Reconsideration ("Order") released by the Federal Communications Commission in Docket No. CC92-297 on January 8, 1993.

In said Order, the Commission concluded that the 28 GHz band should be redesignated to accommodate local multipoint technology that would provide consumers with additional options for video programming distribution, wideband video data, and other telecommunications services. In proposing such redesignation of spectrum, the Commission said that, while the 28 GHz band has been available for point-to-point microwave radio common carrier use

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Monmouth-Ocean, New Jersey; Salt Lake City, Utah; Jacksonville, Florida; Bakersfield, California; and Albuquerque, New Mexico; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;


(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,



John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for Kathleen Smith

RECEIVED

FEB - 8 1993

CLERK OF THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

FREEDOM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)

Petitioner,)

v.)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA)

Respondents,)

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 08 1993

RON GARVIN

RECEIVED

93-1137

FILED FEB 08 1993

PETITION FOR REVIEW

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 402 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §402, Freedom Technologies, Inc. hereby petitions the Court for review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Order, Tentative Decision and Order on Reconsideration ("Order") released by the Federal Communications Commission in Docket No. CC92-297 on January 8, 1993.

In said Order, the Commission concluded that the 28 GHz band should be redesignated to accommodate local multipoint technology that would provide consumers with additional options for video programming distribution, wideband video data, and other telecommunications services. In proposing such redesignation of spectrum, the Commission said that, while the 28 GHz band has been available for point-to-point microwave radio common carrier use

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Middlesex-Somerset, New Jersey; Santa Rosa, California; New Haven, Connecticut; Reno, Nevada; and San Juan, Puerto Rico; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,



John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for Freedom Technologies,
Inc.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

ROSALIE Y. GOLDBERG

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA

Respondents,

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 08 1993

RON GARVIN
CLERK

93-1139

RECEIVED

FILED FEB 08 1993

PETITION FOR REVIEW

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 402 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §402, Rosalie Y. Goldberg hereby petitions the Court for review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Order, Tentative Decision and Order on Reconsideration ("Order") released by the Federal Communications Commission in Docket No. CC92-297 on January 8, 1993.

In said Order, the Commission concluded that the 28 GHz band should be redesignated to accommodate local multipoint technology that would provide consumers with additional options for video programming distribution, wideband video data, and other telecommunications services. In proposing such redesignation of spectrum, the Commission said that, while the 28 GHz band has been available for point-to-point microwave radio common carrier use

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Wilmington, Delaware; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,



John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for Rosalie Y. Goldberg

RECEIVED

FEB 08 1993

**CLERK OF THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

CHARLES D. SNELLING

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA

Respondents,

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 08 1993

**RON GARVIN
CLERK**

93-1140

RECEIVED

REC'D

PETITION FOR REVIEW OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 402 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §402, Charles D. Snelling hereby petitions the Court for review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Order, Tentative Decision and Order on Reconsideration ("Order") released by the Federal Communications Commission in Docket No. CC92-297 on January 8, 1993.

In said Order, the Commission concluded that the 28 GHz band should be redesignated to accommodate local multipoint technology that would provide consumers with additional options for video programming distribution, wideband video data, and other telecommunications services. In proposing such redesignation of spectrum, the Commission said that, while the 28 GHz band has been available for point-to-point microwave radio common carrier use

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Akron, Ohio; Scranton, Pennsylvania; Toledo, Ohio; Colorado Springs, Colorado; and Montgomery, Alabama; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;


(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,



John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for Charles D. Snelling

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

LDH INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA

Respondents,

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FEB 08 1993

93-1141 RON GARVIN
CLERK

RECEIVED

REC'D

PETITION FOR REVIEW

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 402 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §402, LDH International, Inc. hereby petitions the Court for review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Order, Tentative Decision and Order on Reconsideration ("Order") released by the Federal Communications Commission in Docket No. CC92-297 on January 8, 1993.

In said Order, the Commission concluded that the 28 GHz band should be redesignated to accommodate local multipoint technology that would provide consumers with additional options for video programming distribution, wideband video data, and other telecommunications services. In proposing such redesignation of spectrum, the Commission said that, while the 28 GHz band has been available for point-to-point microwave radio common carrier use

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Los Angeles, California; Chicago, Illinois; San Francisco, California; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Detroit, Michigan; Boston, Massachusetts; Washington, DC; Dallas, Texas; Houston, Texas; Miami, Florida; Atlanta, Georgia; Seattle, Washington; Phoenix, Arizona; Denver, Colorado; and Kansas City, Missouri; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse


of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise
violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "John H. Chapman", is written over a horizontal line.

John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for LDH International,
Inc.



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

TELCOM INVESTMENT CORP.

Petitioner,

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA

Respondents,

United States Court of Appeals
For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED FEB 08 1993

RON GARVIN
CLERK

93-1142

RECEIVED

RECORDED

PETITION FOR REVIEW

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 402 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §402, Telcom Investment Corp. hereby petitions the Court for review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Order, Tentative Decision and Order on Reconsideration ("Order") released by the Federal Communications Commission in Docket No. CC92-297 on January 8, 1993.

In said Order, the Commission concluded that the 28 GHz band should be redesignated to accommodate local multipoint technology that would provide consumers with additional options for video programming distribution, wideband video data, and other telecommunications services. In proposing such redesignation of spectrum, the Commission said that, while the 28 GHz band has been available for point-to-point microwave radio common carrier use

since 1959, very little, if any, use of this frequency band has been made since 1959.

At the time of said Order, petitioner had pending before the Commission applications to provide local multipoint distribution service for the respective service areas encompassing Riverside, California; Oakland, California; Ft. Lauderdale, Florida; Indianapolis, Indiana; New Orleans, Louisiana; Providence, Rhode Island; Dayton, Ohio; Greensboro, North Carolina; Richmond, Virginia; West Palm Beach, Florida; Melbourne, Florida; Des Moines, Iowa; Pensacola, Florida; Fort Myers, Florida; and Sarasota, Florida; accompanied by petitions for waiver of the current rules.

By said Order, the Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications. Petitioner intends to rely on the following reasons for this appeal:

(1) The Commission denied petitioner's pending waiver applications summarily, without benefit of the Commission's proposed rulemaking to amend the current Common Carrier Point-to-Point Microwave Service rules;

(2) The Commission erroneously found that petitioner's waiver applications did not satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(3) Contrary to the Commission's finding, the petitioner's waiver applications do satisfy the standard for a waiver;

(4) The petitioner's waiver applications are consistent with the minimal technical rules which the Commission proposes to adopt in redesignating the 28 GHz band so that video and other telecommunication services may be accommodated;

(5) Since the 28 GHz band is not being utilized as was found by the Commission, grant of petitioner's waiver applications would not be detrimental to "assigned users" as the Commission has erroneously and inconsistently found; and

(6) The Commission's denial of the petitioner's waiver applications is arbitrary, capricious and an abuse

of discretion, not in accordance with law, and otherwise
violates the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §706.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "John Haven Chapman", is written over a horizontal line.

John Haven Chapman, Esq.
CHAPMAN, MORAN, HUBBARD,
GLAZER & ZIMMERMANN
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
(203) 353-8000

Attorneys for Telcom Investment
Corp.